DATE: December 31, 2013



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700 TEL 510.817.5700 TDD/TTY 510.817.5769 FAX 510.817.5848 E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

W.I.: 1233

Memorandum

TO: Administration Committee

FR: Deputy Executive Director, Policy

RE: Contract Amendments:

Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round 15 (\$1,679,129)

i. Adhara Systems, San Jose, CA (\$222,112)

- ii. AMS Consulting, Pleasanton, CA (\$267,540)
- iii. Bellecci & Associates, Concord, CA (\$95,000)
- iv. Capitol Asset & Pavement Services, Salem, OR (\$331,395)
- v. Harris & Associates, Concord, CA (\$317,990)
- vi. JG3 Consulting, Heath, OH (\$53,800)
- vii. Nichols Consulting Engineers, Richmond, CA (\$337,838)
- viii. Quality Engineering Solutions, Conneaut Lake, PA (\$53,454)

This memorandum requests the Committee's approval to amend contracts with the eight consultants listed above in the specified amounts to provide assistance to Bay Area jurisdictions for the Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP). The P-TAP Round 15 (FY 2013-14) contract budget is approximately \$1.5 million plus a minimum 11.47% local match for a total program of approximately \$1.7million. Funding for the program is included in the FY 2013-14 agency budget.

Background

P-TAP is funded with Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds and local match funds and provides Bay Area jurisdictions with assistance and expertise in implementing and maintaining Pavement Management Systems (PMS), non-pavement asset management pilot projects, and designing pavement rehabilitation projects.

MTC retains several consultants to provide services to Bay Area agencies that apply for P-TAP assistance. Funds are allocated to individual projects, ranging from \$10,000 to \$100,000, based on the scope and amount requested by the jurisdiction. Projects are then assigned to P-TAP consultants based on each firm's expertise, prior experiences with the jurisdiction, and past performance.

The firms listed above are the result of a competitive procurement in the form of a Request for Qualifications issued by MTC in 2012. This Committee authorized contracts with these firms in December 2012.

Project List

On September 16, 2013, staff contacted all 109 Bay Area cities and counties soliciting projects for P-TAP Round 15. Requests totaled \$2.7 million for 68 projects. Staff recommends funding 48 of the projects submitted based on availability of funding and the scoring criteria established in MTC Resolution 4078, which gives priority to jurisdictions that had not received P-TAP funds in Round 14, jurisdictions requesting funds for PMS projects, small jurisdictions (i.e., those with few centerline miles), and jurisdictions with a lapsed or soon to be lapsed certification status. Attachment 1 is a list of all applications received and staff's recommendation for project funding.

Recommendations

Staff recommends that this Committee authorize the Executive Director or his designee to negotiate and enter into contract amendments with the eight firms listed above in the amounts specified above for P-TAP Round 15 for a one-year period.

Ann Flemer

AF: CH

J:\COMMITTE\Administration\2014 by Month\1 January 2014\2g_PTAP 15_Hohorst.docx

REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE APPROVAL

Summary of Proposed Consultant Contracts

Work Item No.:

1233

Consultants:

- 1. Adhara Systems, San Jose, CA (\$222,112)
- 2. AMS Consulting, Pleasanton, CA (\$267,540)
- 3. Bellecci & Associates, Concord, CA (\$95,000)
- 4. Capitol Asset & Pavement Services, Salem, OR (\$331,395)
- 5. Harris & Associates, Concord, CA (\$317,990)
- 6. JG3 Consulting, Heath, OH (\$53,800)
- 7. Nichols Consulting Engineers, Richmond, CA (\$337,838)
- 8. Quality Engineering Solutions, Conneaut Lake, PA (\$53,454)

Project Title:

Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP),

Round 15

Purpose of Project:

To provide technical assistance in implementing P-TAP for

jurisdictions in the San Francisco Bay Area.

Brief Scope of Work:

Includes some or all of the following: collecting data, running

software, identifying budget impacts on pavement condition.

Project Cost Not to

\$1,679,129 as described below:

Exceed:

(\$1,486,533 – STP; \$192,596 – Local Match)

-	Total Approved Contract Amount Before This Action	PTAP 15 Amendment Amounts	Total Approved Contract Amount Based on This Action
Adhara	\$545,025	\$222,112	\$767,137
AMS	\$303,500 \$100,000	\$267,540 \$95,000	\$571,040 \$195,000
Bellecci			
Capitol Asset	\$348,300	\$331,395	\$679,695
Harris	\$367,600	\$317,990	\$685,590
JG3	\$58,000	\$53,800	\$111,800
Nichols	\$399,900	\$337,838	\$737,738
Quality Engineering	\$65,000	\$53,454	\$118,454
Totals:	\$2,187,325	\$1,679,129	\$3,866,454

Funding Source:

STP and Local Match

Fiscal Impact:

Funds for MTC share are available in FY 2013-14 budget

Motion by Committee:

That the Executive Director or his designee is authorized to negotiate and enter into contract amendments with each of the firms for the Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program as listed above and in the Deputy Executive Director's memorandum dated December 31, 2013, and the Chief Financial Officer is directed to set aside funds in the amount of \$1,679,129 for such contract amendments.

Administration Committee:

Adrienne Tissier, Chair

Approved:

Date: January 8, 2014

Attachment 1
Jurisdictions That Applied for Funding and Award Amounts

				Staff Funding
	Jurisdiction	County	Funding Requested	Recommendations
1	Alameda	Alameda County	19,200	-
2		Alameda County	10,000	10,000
3	County of Alameda	Alameda County	40,000	-
4	Dublin	Alameda County	34,800	34,800
5	Emeryville	Alameda County	12,500	10,000
6	Fremont	Alameda County	100,000	-
	Hayward	Alameda County	25,440	-
8	Oakland	Alameda County	100,000	•
9	San Leandro	Alameda County	54,143	-
10	Union City	Alameda County	41,418	41,418
		Subtotal	437,500	96,218
11	Brentwood	Contra Costa County	57,000	57,000
12	Clayton	Contra Costa County	12,712	12,712
13	Concord ²	Contra Costa County	66,288	66,288
14	Concord ²	Contra Costa County	23,890	-
	Contra Costa County	Contra Costa County	100,000	100,000
16	Danville	Contra Costa County	46,440	46,440
17	El Cerrito	Contra Costa County	21,016	21,030
18	Martinez	Contra Costa County	36,000	36,000
19	Moraga	Contra Costa County	16,710	-
20	Oakley	Contra Costa County	39,182	39,182
21	Orinda	Contra Costa County	10,000	10,000
22	Pittsburg	Contra Costa County	50,000	49,605
23	Pleasant Hill	Contra Costa County	33,000	33,000
	Richmond	Contra Costa County	86,100	86,100
	San Pablo	Contra Costa County	14,400	14,400
	San Ramon	Contra Costa County	66,727	•
27	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa County	33,300	33,300
		Subtotal	712,765	605,057
	Corte Madera	Marin County	10,500	10,500
	Marin County	Marin County	61,020	61,020
	Ross	Marin County	10,000	10,000
	San Anselmo	Marin County	11,610	11,610
	San Rafael	Marin County	51,807	51,807
33	Sausalito	Marin County	10,000	10,000
		Subtotal	154,937	154,937
	Calistoga	Napa County	10,000	10,000
	Napa	Napa County	65,601	-
	St. Helena	Napa County	10,000	10,000
37	Yountville	Napa County	10,000	10,000
		Subtotal	95,601	30,000
38	San Francisco	San Francisco County	100,000	•
	A .1	Subtotal	100,000	
	Atherton	San Mateo County	16,272	16,272
	Belmont	San Mateo County	21,000	21,000
	Brisbane	San Mateo County	10,000	10,000
	Daly City	San Mateo County	34,500	-
43	Hillsborough	San Mateo County	24,900	24,900

				Staff Funding
	Jurisdiction	County	Funding Requested	Recommendations
44	Millbrae	San Mateo County	17,454	17,454
45	Redwood City	San Mateo County	46,371	46,371
46	San Bruno	San Mateo County	26,765	- S
47	San Carlos	San Mateo County	26,000	-
48	San Mateo	San Mateo County	57,600	-
49	San Mateo County ³	San Mateo County	95,000	95,000
		Subtotal	375,862	230,997
	Cupertino	Santa Clara County	49,740	41,580
	Gilroy	Santa Clara County	35,605	35,605
52	Los Altos	Santa Clara County	6,000	<u>-</u>
53	Los Altos Hills	Santa Clara County	18,600	18,600
	Milpitas	Santa Clara County	38,400	38,490
55	Mountain View	Santa Clara County	42,000	42,060
56	Palo Alto	Santa Clara County	59,700	59,700
57	San Jose	Santa Clara County	100,000	-
58	Santa Clara	Santa Clara County	74,520	74,520
59	Santa Clara County	Santa Clara County	75,000	-
		Subtotal	499,565	310,555
60	Benicia	Solano County	10,000	10,000
61	Dixon	Solano County	18,666	18,666
62	Fairfield	Solano County	92,700	92,700
63	Vallejo	Solano County	96,021	-
		Subtotal	217,387	121,366
64	Cloverdale	Sonoma County	10,000	10,000
65	Cotati	Sonoma County	10,000	10,000
66	Rohnert Park	Sonoma County	27,073	-
67	Sebastopol	Sonoma County	10,000	10,000
68	Sonoma County	Sonoma County	414,000	100,000
		Subtotal	471,073	130,000
			3,064,690	1,679,129

Please Note: Funding amounts may change as a result of possible modifications to project scopes.

 $^{^2}$ Concord applied for a PMS and a Non-Pavement Asset Project; the PMS Project was funded.

³ San Mateo County: Non-pavement Asset Management Pilot Project